	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/052,295	MIRZAYI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chester T. Barry	1724
All Participants: Status of Application: pending before first action		
(1) <u>Barry</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Haefliger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2003	Time: <u>16:00 EDT</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		*· ·
Rejection(s) discussed:		_
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner telephoned seeking an election of species. Applicant requested that the requirement be set to writing and mailed before an election was made.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Chet 3		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

3 July 6